

Schedule D: Coordinated Transaction Scheduling

WHEREAS, ISO-NE, as the regional transmission organization for the New England Transmission System and the administrator of the New England wholesale electricity markets, schedules the sale of energy by its Market Participants to, and the purchase of energy by its Market Participants from, neighboring Balancing Authority Areas, all in accordance with the ISO-NE Tariff, which includes the Open Access Transmission Tariff and ISO-NE market rules;

WHEREAS, ISO-NE is the administrator of the ISO-NE Tariff and is responsible for, among other matters, ensuring sufficient reserves are available to provide reliable service in its Balancing Authority Area, in accordance with the ISO-NE Tariff;

WHEREAS, the NYISO, as the independent system operator of the New York Transmission System and the administrator of the New York wholesale electricity markets, schedules the sale of energy by its Market Participants to, and the purchase of energy by its Market Participants from, neighboring Balancing Authority Areas, all in accordance with the NYISO Tariffs;

WHEREAS, the NYISO is the administrator of the NYISO Tariffs and is responsible for, among other matters, ensuring sufficient reserves are available to provide reliable service in its Balancing Authority Area, in accordance with the NYISO Tariffs;

WHEREAS, Coordinated Transaction Scheduling will improve interregional scheduling efficiency by taking into account relative price differences between the regions and scheduling bids and offers on a 15 minute basis at CTS Enabled Interfaces; and

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to schedule energy between their Balancing Authority Areas more efficiently, while continuing to ensure that each Party will maintain sufficient Operating Reserve available on its respective system to ensure the reliable operation thereof;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and of the mutual covenants herein set forth, the Parties mutually agree as follows:

ARTICLE I

1.0 OVERVIEW OF COORDINATED TRANSACTION SCHEDULING

Coordinated Transaction Scheduling or “CTS” is an external transaction scheduling process implemented by the Parties at designated CTS Enabled Interfaces that allow real-time energy transactions to be scheduled based on a Market Participant’s willingness to purchase energy at a source External Proxy Bus (in the NECA, or in the NYCA) and sell it at a sink External Proxy Bus in the other Control Area if the forecasted price at the sink minus the forecasted price at the corresponding source is greater than or equal to the bid price. The rules set forth in this Schedule D only apply at CTS Enabled Interfaces.

In accordance with the terms of this Schedule D and the Parties' respective tariffs, CTS Interface Bids are ordinarily evaluated on a 15-minute basis utilizing forecasted real-time prices and forecasted system information from NYISO and forecasted real-time prices and forecasted system information from ISO-NE. The evaluation will be performed by the NYISO's Real-Time Commitment (RTC) optimization consistent with the rules specified in the NYISO Services Tariff and this Schedule D.

As part of the iterative CTS process, NYISO will share forward looking RTC interchange schedules with ISO-NE and these schedules will be used by ISO-NE as an input to develop a new set of forecasted prices and system information, which ISO-NE will then provide to NYISO for use in the next RTC optimization.

In accordance with Section 4 below, the RTC optimization will determine the External Interface Congestion component of the RTC LMP at a CTS Enabled Interface, which will subsequently be incorporated into the Parties' real-time settlement LMPs.

Wheel-through transactions across a CTS Enabled Interface will be scheduled on an hourly basis. Wheels through the NYCA will use decremental or sink price cap bids at CTS Enabled Interfaces. Wheels through the NECA will use hourly CTS Interface Bids at CTS Enabled Interfaces for scheduling by the NYISO.

The Parties agree that CTS and its components will operate in accordance with this Schedule D and the terms of the Parties' respective tariffs.

ARTICLE II

2.0 SUBMITTAL OF CTS INTERFACE BIDS

2.1 CTS Interface Bid Submittal by New England Responsible Settlement Parties and their Representatives

NYISO is hosting the platform used by both New York and New England Responsible Settlement Parties to submit CTS Interface Bids. New York RSPs shall submit and confirm bids at CTS Enabled Interfaces in accordance with the NYISO Tariffs.

Authorized New England RSPs shall have access to the bidding platform for purposes of submitting bids at CTS Enabled Interfaces between the NECA and the NYCA. Such access will be provided under equivalent terms and conditions to New York RSPs.

On an hourly or more frequent basis ISO-NE shall provide NYISO with: (a) a list of all New England RSPs that are authorized to submit or confirm bids at CTS Enabled Interfaces and (b) identification information for each representative (*i.e.*, an individual) that is authorized to submit or confirm bids at CTS Enabled Interfaces on behalf of a New England RSP. Only representatives designated by ISO-NE shall be permitted access to the platform that is used to

submit bids at CTS Enabled Interfaces on behalf of a New England RSP. NYISO shall verify the authorization of a New England RSP and its representative at the time a bid is submitted, confirmed, modified or deleted. If it has been more than two hours since the NYISO last received from ISO-NE an updated list of all authorized New England RSPs and identification information for each representative that is authorized to submit or confirm bids at CTS Enabled Interfaces on behalf of a New England RSP, then NYISO shall not allow any New England RSP to access the platform that is used to submit bids at CTS Enabled Interfaces until an updated list is received.

In the event NYISO is not able to implement a new or changed status in a timely fashion, NYISO will inform ISO-NE of any delay it is aware of and the reason for the delay, and will implement the new or changed status as soon as possible.

2.2 Confirmation of New England Responsible Settlement Parties

A representative submitting an initial or revised CTS Interface Bid, or a bid to schedule a wheel through the NYCA at a CTS Enabled External Proxy Bus must belong to an authorized RSP in either NYISO or ISO-NE. In that submittal, the representative must identify the participating RSP in the other area. The other participating RSP must confirm the submittal of the CTS Interface Bid or bid to wheel through the NYCA, in order for the bid to be valid. A CTS Interface Bid or a bid to wheel through the NYCA can be withdrawn by either participating RSP; no confirmation is required.

An RSP may establish a Confirmed Trust Relationship with another RSP such that the required confirmation will be automatically granted for any submittal of a CTS Interface Bid or bid to wheel through the NYCA at a CTS Enabled External Proxy Bus that is submitted by the trusted RSP and includes both RSPs as parties to the transaction. Upon representative action to submit, update or revoke a Confirmed Trust Relationship, NYISO shall verify that (i) the submittal identifies two authorized RSPs, one in New York and one in New England and (ii) the representative belongs to the RSP that is granting the Confirmed Trust Relationship to the other RSP.

Upon representative action to submit or confirm an initial or revised CTS Interface Bid or bid to wheel through the NYCA, or to withdraw a CTS Interface Bid or bid to wheel through the NYCA at a CTS Enabled External Proxy Bus, the NYISO shall verify that (i) the submittal identifies two valid RSPs, one in New York and one in New England, and (ii) the representative belongs to an RSP that is identified on the submittal. If a Confirmed Trust Relationship exists between the two authorized RSPs and the action is taken by a representative that is associated with a trusted RSP to submit or confirm an initial or revised CTS Interface Bid or bid to wheel through the NYCA, the bid shall be deemed submitted and confirmed, or the revision confirmed.

Upon receiving ISO-NE's notice of suspension or termination of a New England RSP, which ISO-NE shall do consistent with its authority under the ISO-NE Tariff, NYISO will promptly:

1. cease honoring Confirmed Trust Relationships associated with the suspended or terminated New England RSP;

2. within the real-time market day on which NYISO receives the instruction from ISO-NE, remove the suspended or terminated New England RSP's bids at CTS Enabled Interfaces that are offered in the NECA to NYCA direction;
3. within the real-time market day on which NYISO receives the instruction from ISO-NE, remove bids at CTS Enabled Interfaces that are offered in the NECA to NYCA direction that include the New England RSP as a trusted RSP;
4. for all real-time market days subsequent to the real-time market day on which NYISO receives the instruction from ISO-NE, remove all of the suspended or terminated New England RSP's bids at CTS Enabled Interfaces; and
5. for all real-time market days subsequent to the real-time market day on which NYISO receives the instruction from ISO-NE, remove all bids at CTS Enabled Interfaces that include the suspended or terminated New England RSP as a trusted RSP.

The five changes enumerated above will be effectuated prospectively. The Parties will not effectuate changes one through three for a real-time market hour in which RSPs are no longer able to submit or modify bids.

ISO-NE will curtail the e-tags for the transactions associated with the bids NYISO is required to remove under the rules set forth above.

In the event NYISO is not able to implement a new or changed status that is addressed in this Section 2.2 in a timely fashion, NYISO will inform ISO-NE of any delay it is aware of and the reasons for the delay, and will implement the new or changed status as soon as possible.

If the NYISO is unable to verify that the required confirmations have been received, then the CTS Interface Bid or bid to wheel through the NYCA shall not be considered in the RTC optimization.

If the NYISO is not able to validate an RSP or a representative, then that entity or person will not be able to submit, modify, confirm or delete a CTS Interface Bid or a bid to wheel through the NYCA.

ARTICLE III

3.0 CALCULATION OF ISO-NE SUPPLY PRICE POINTS

Each quarter-hour, ISO-NE shall calculate a set of forecast energy prices at its External Proxy Buses for each CTS Enabled Interface corresponding to varying interchange levels on that interface. The results will be provided to NYISO as increasing MW-price pairs, where the MW value represents a net interchange level on the CTS Enabled Interface and the price value represents ISO-NE's forecast of its real-time LMP for its External Proxy Bus at that net interchange MW level. ISO-NE will provide no fewer than one and no more than 11 MW-price pairs for each of ten consecutive quarter-hour intervals, which are referred to as the "ISO-NE Supply Price Points."

The ISO-NE Supply Price Points are created with a forward-looking, security-constrained economic dispatch system that co-optimizes energy and reserve requirements. This forward-looking co-optimization will assume the same units are committed as are previously committed, or scheduled to be committed, in ISO-NE's real-time production system. The energy from currently uncommitted fast-start generation will also be considered for dispatch in the forward-looking co-optimization. ISO-NE Supply Price Points shall be calculated using the current production data for load forecasts, active transmission constraints, state estimator data, Market Participant energy re-offers, wind forecasts, forecasted net interchange on all Interconnections (including forward looking RTC interchange schedules provided by NYISO), and operator updates to resource limits.

ARTICLE IV

4.0 SCHEDULING EXTERNAL TRANSACTIONS AT CTS ENABLED INTERFACES

4.1 Evaluation of CTS Interface Bids

The RTC will use the CTS Interface Bids and the ISO-NE Supply Price Points to economically schedule the CTS Interface Bids and determine the net interchange schedules. The economic scheduling of the CTS Interface Bids will be performed simultaneously with the scheduling of internal NYCA resources and external transactions at other NYCA Interconnections.

For an RTC optimization that schedules hourly CTS Interface Bids, the RTC will use the ISO-NE Supply Price Points for each 15-minute interval of the hour. An hourly CTS Interface Bid will be scheduled if it is economic for the hour.

For an RTC optimization that schedules CTS Interface Bids at 15-minute intervals, the RTC optimization will use ISO-NE Supply Price Points that have been adjusted to account for the hourly RTC external transaction schedules established at CTS Enabled Interfaces, including any scheduled Emergency Energy.

When there are multiple CTS Interface Bids at the same bid price but not all of them can be economically scheduled, the CTS Interface Bids with the same price will be scheduled pro-rata.

The RTC optimization incorporates Ramp Limits and Transfer Limits in the manner described in Section 5 of this Schedule D to economically schedule CTS Interface Bids and shall determine: (1) the net interchange schedule for each CTS Enabled Interface, (2) the RTC LMP for each CTS Enabled External Proxy Bus, and (3) the External Interface Congestion at each CTS Enabled Interface.

4.2 External Interface Congestion Price Assignment

The RTC optimization will determine the External Interface Congestion at an External Proxy Bus for a CTS Enabled Interface if the net interchange schedule is limited in the RTC solution

due to one or more of the following three reasons: (i) there are more economic transactions offered in a common direction (import or export) than the Transfer Limit of the External Proxy Bus can accommodate, or (ii) the NYCA (system-wide) Ramp Limit prevents the RTC from scheduling one or more external transactions at the External Proxy Bus consistent with the economics of the underlying bids, or (iii) a Ramp Limit prevents the RTC from scheduling one or more external transactions consistent with the economics of the underlying bids (collectively, the “External Proxy Bus Constraints”).

Whenever an External Proxy Bus Constraint at a CTS Enabled Interface is limiting in the RTC optimization, the External Interface Congestion at the External Proxy Bus will be assigned, in whole or in part, as set forth below.

ISO-NE Limiting: If the RTC optimization is limited by a Transfer Limit determined by an ISO-NE Operating Reserve limitation, an ISO-NE minimum generation limitation, or an ISO-NE capacity deliverability limit, including when the Transfer Limit is adjusted in accordance with Section 5.4 of this Schedule D to accommodate the Ramp Limit while implementing one of these limitations, then the portion of the External Interface Congestion associated with the External Proxy Bus Constraint shall be assigned to ISO-NE.

NYISO Limiting: If the RTC optimization is limited by NYCA-wide Ramp Limits, then the portion of the External Interface Congestion associated with the External Proxy Bus Constraint shall be assigned to NYISO.

NYISO and ISO-NE Limiting: If the RTC optimization is limited by any Ramp Limit or Transfer Limit that is not specifically addressed in the “ISO-NE Limiting” or “NYISO Limiting” paragraphs above, or by any Transfer Limit or Ramp Limit that results from an operator override, as described in Section 5.2.5 of this Schedule D, the portion of the External Interface Congestion for a CTS Enabled Interface that is associated with an External Proxy Bus Constraint shall be assigned to both Parties equally.

The RTC solution may be limited by multiple External Proxy Bus Constraints simultaneously. If this occurs, the foregoing rules will apply to each External Proxy Bus Constraint.

If there are not sufficient CTS Interface Bid MWs offered to achieve a Transfer Limit, RTC will schedule the available MWs. In these circumstances, RTC will determine the External Interface Congestion at the External Proxy Bus based on the NYISO’s Transmission Shortage Costs as defined in the NYISO Tariff.

In order to provide consistent price signals between their respective real-time energy markets, the Parties shall each incorporate the foregoing process into the real-time settlement LMP at their External Proxy Bus for each CTS Enabled Interface.

ARTICLE V

5.0 CTS ENABLED INTERFACE OPERATING RULES

5.1 CTS Enabled Interface Ramp Limits

The default quarter-hour Ramp Limit for the NY/NE Northern AC Interconnection will be mutually agreed to by the Parties and posted on the NYISO's OASIS.

The default top-of-the-hour Ramp Limit for the NY/NE Northern AC Interconnection (for use when quarter-hour scheduling is unavailable) will be mutually agreed to by the Parties and posted on the NYISO's OASIS.

In real-time operations, when necessary to protect reliability, the Parties may mutually agree to temporarily change the Ramp Limit(s) at any CTS Enabled Interface. The Parties shall restore the modified Ramp Limit to the posted default Ramp Limit as soon as reliable system operations permit and it is practicable to do so.

5.2 Transfer Limits Reflecting Reliability Conditions

A Transfer Limit sets the maximum net interchange that can be scheduled on a CTS Enabled Interface in the RTC solution. Factors that can set the Transfer Limits include the following:

1. normal scheduling limits;
2. Operating Reserve limitations;
3. minimum generation limitations;
4. capacity requests;
5. operator overrides.

5.2.1 Normal Scheduling Limits

The normal scheduling limit for a CTS Enabled Interface is the amount of electric power that can normally be transferred over a CTS Enabled Interface. The Parties may mutually agree to change the normal scheduling limits that are used at CTS Enabled Interfaces due to transmission outages, generation outages or other changes in system conditions. In the event the change to a normal scheduling limit is planned in advance, the Parties will make reasonable efforts to change the values in time to be included in the clearing of their respective day-ahead energy markets and be publicly posted prior to implementation. For the real-time operating day, ISO-NE will send its normal scheduling limits at each CTS Enabled Interface to the NYISO via the electronic data exchange to cover the same ten consecutive quarter-hour intervals as ISO-NE's Supply Price Points.

5.2.2 Operating Reserve Limitations

If one Control Area experiences an Operating Reserve deficiency, the other Control Area is not obligated to go deficient in its reserves of the same or a higher quality product, but may go deficient in a lower-quality reserve product in order to prevent an Operating Reserve deficiency

of a higher quality reserve product in the other Control Area. To ensure these mutual reliability objectives can be satisfied, the Parties may modify the Transfer Limits in certain conditions as described below.

The RTC optimization procures reserves to meet the NYISO's reserve requirements and prices shortages of reserves using the NYISO's Operating Reserve demand curves. The RTC does not have information on the amount of Operating Reserve in the NECA. Therefore, at CTS Enabled Interfaces, ISO-NE will use the electronic data exchange to provide to NYISO both the ISO-NE Supply Price Points and Transfer Limit values that reflect the net interchange required to meet ISO-NE's 10-minute and 30-minute reserve requirements. When calculated, these values will reflect the net interchange required to meet ISO-NE's 10-minute and 30-minute reserve requirements for the same ten consecutive quarter-hour intervals for which ISO-NE's Supply Price Points are provided. ISO-NE will calculate these Transfer Limit values for each interval based on the Operating Reserve surplus in the NECA when applying the forecasted RTC net interchange on the CTS Enabled Interface. For the purposes of Schedule D, the ISO-NE Transfer Limit associated with the 10-minute reserve requirement will always be less restrictive than the Transfer Limit associated with the ISO-NE 30-minute reserve requirement. When ISO-NE sends Transfer Limits that are associated with Operating Reserve requirements, the ISO-NE Supply Price Points must also reflect those expected reserve shortage prices. RTC will evaluate whether the ISO-NE Transfer Limit would preclude NYISO from meeting its reserve requirements for an equal or higher quality reserve product. If so, RTC may adjust the Transfer Limit in accordance with Section 5.3 of this Schedule D, based on the principles set forth in the preceding paragraph.

5.2.3 Minimum Generation Limitations

The RTC optimization dispatches the NYISO system's internal generation as needed when the NYCA approaches minimum generation conditions. The RTC does not have information to assess minimum generation conditions within the NECA. Therefore, at CTS Enabled Interfaces, ISO-NE will use the electronic data exchange to provide to NYISO Transfer Limit values that reflect the net interchange level beyond which ISO-NE cannot further dispatch down internal generation while maintaining reliable operations. When ISO-NE sends Transfer Limits for this purpose, the ISO-NE Supply Price Points must also reflect these requirements.

ISO-NE shall not send, and NYISO is not required to enforce, a minimum generation Transfer Limit that would require the NYCA to accept energy from the NECA.

ISO-NE shall not send both a minimum generation Transfer Limit and Operating Reserve Transfer Limits at the same time.

5.2.4 Capacity Transfer Limits

Day-Ahead Coordination

NYISO will provide its day-ahead operating plan to ISO-NE. Once ISO-NE determines that it expects to count on capacity resources located in New York to meet its reserve requirements, ISO-NE shall inform NYISO of the expected capacity call.

Real-Time Coordination

ISO-NE Capacity Requests at CTS Enabled Interfaces:

ISO-NE may request delivery of energy from capacity resources located in the NYCA that have obligations in the ISO-NE capacity market over a CTS Enabled Interface. The ISO-NE operator will call the NYISO operator to initiate the capacity request. Upon receiving the request, the NYISO operator will confirm what amount of the capacity request is deliverable based on projected transmission constraints (“Capacity Deliverable to ISO-NE”). If the Capacity Deliverable to ISO-NE is non-zero, RTC will determine the ISO-NE capacity that is available based on offers submitted by NYCA generators that have sold their capacity to ISO-NE and are projected to be available in real-time, subject to any real-time derates (“Capacity Available to ISO-NE”).

Transactions to wheel capacity through the NYCA will be excluded from the ISO-NE/NYISO capacity request process.

NYISO Capacity Requests at CTS Enabled Interfaces:

If the NYISO projects the ISO-NE real-time capacity request could cause the NYISO to become capacity deficient, the NYISO may request delivery of energy associated with capacity resources located in ISO-NE that have an obligation in the NYISO capacity market over a CTS Enabled Interface. The NYISO operator will call the ISO-NE operator to initiate the capacity request. The NYISO will require that its eligible New England-based capacity submit CTS Interface Bids to be evaluated by RTC. It will be up to the supplier of New England-based capacity to ensure that the resource(s) backing capacity transactions are available to deliver their capacity to New York when they are called on to do so. At the time of the request, the ISO-NE operator will determine whether all or any part of the generation supporting the capacity is available and deliverable (“Capacity Available to NYISO”).

Section 5.3 of this Schedule D sets forth how capacity data and Operating Reserve limitations are used to establish a Transfer Limit.

5.2.5 Operator Override Transfer Limits

Real-time system conditions may require that a NYISO or ISO-NE operator override the Transfer Limit to establish the flow that can be transferred over a CTS Enabled Interface in a reliable manner. Except when necessary to protect reliability, an operator override shall not be used to submit limits that can be submitted via the electronic data exchange.

5.3 Establishing Transfer Limits for RTC

RTC determines a net interchange for each interval that must be a value between an upper bound and lower bound. In this section, the high Transfer Limit is the upper bound on that range and the low Transfer Limit is the lower bound on that range. The rules in this Section 5.3 detail how the inputs from Section 5.2, which are first tested against the criteria set forth in Section 7.2, are used to determine the high and low Transfer Limits in RTC for each quarter-hour interval. For purposes of this Section 5.3, a positive value represents flow from New England to New York, and a negative value represents flow from New York to New England. The values associated with an ISO-NE capacity request, Capacity Deliverable to ISO-NE and Capacity Available to ISO-NE are all negative.

1. When a Minimum Generation Transfer Limit is provided by ISO-NE in accordance with Section 5.2.3, that value is the low Transfer Limit at a CTS Enabled Interface.
2. When ISO-NE provides Operating Reserve Transfer Limits but has not requested capacity from NYISO, the following rules are applied to determine the high Transfer Limit at a CTS Enabled Interface:
 - a) If the ISO-NE 30-minute Operating Reserve Transfer Limit is greater than or equal to zero, then:
 - i. If enforcing the ISO-NE 30-minute Operating Reserve Transfer Limit is projected to cause the NYISO to have a deficiency of 10-minute Operating Reserve, the high Transfer Limit is the minimum value that is not projected to result in a NYISO 10 minute Operating Reserve deficiency;
 - ii. Otherwise the high Transfer Limit is the ISO-NE 30-minute Operating Reserve Transfer Limit.
 - b) If the ISO-NE 30-minute Operating Reserve Transfer Limit is less than zero, then:
 - i. If enforcing the ISO-NE 30-minute Operating Reserve Transfer Limit is projected to cause the NYISO to have a deficiency of 30-minute Operating Reserve but is not projected to cause the NYISO to have a deficiency of 10-minute Operating Reserve, then the high Transfer Limit is the lesser of (a) the minimum value that is not projected to result in a NYISO 30-minute Operating Reserve deficiency, or (b) zero;
 - ii. If enforcing the ISO-NE 30-minute Operating Reserve Transfer Limit is projected to cause the NYISO to have a deficiency of 10-minute Operating Reserve, then the high Transfer Limit is the minimum value that is not projected to result in a NYISO 10-minute Operating Reserve deficiency;
 - iii. Otherwise the high Transfer Limit is the ISO-NE 30-minute Operating Reserve Transfer Limit.
3. When ISO-NE has requested capacity from NYISO, the high Transfer Limit at a CTS Enabled Interface shall be the greater of:
 - a) the ISO-NE 30-minute Operating Reserve Transfer Limit, or

- b) [the minimum of (i) the total quantity of CTS Interface Bids backing Capacity Available to NYISO or (ii) the Capacity Available to NYISO] plus [the maximum of (iii) the ISO-NE capacity request, (iv) the Capacity Deliverable to ISO-NE or (v) the Capacity Available to ISO-NE].
- 4. When system conditions require that either a low or high Transfer Limit be overridden by the NYISO or ISO-NE operator to establish the flow that can be transferred over a CTS Enabled Interface in a reliable manner, the override shall establish the low or high Transfer Limit.
- 5. Otherwise, the NYISO shall use the normal scheduling Transfer Limit at a CTS Enabled Interface, as described in Section 5.2.1.

5.4. Interaction Between Transfer Limits and Ramp Limits

- a) Except as provided in 5.4(b), when the NYISO's RTC is provided Transfer Limits that would cause it to develop net interchange schedules at a CTS Enabled Interface with ISO-NE that exceed the Ramp Limits, RTC will reset the provided Transfer Limits to ensure the agreed Ramp Limits are not exceeded.
- b) If any Transfer Limit, other than a normal scheduling limit, is implemented via an operator override, then RTC shall permit the agreed Ramp Limits to be exceeded in order to enforce the Transfer Limit.

ARTICLE VI

6.0 SETTLEMENT PROVISIONS

ISO-NE shall settle CTS Interface Bids and other bids and offers scheduled at CTS Enabled Interfaces with its Market Participants in accordance with the rules set forth in the ISO-NE Tariff.

The NYISO shall settle CTS Interface Bids and other bids scheduled at CTS Enabled Interfaces, with its Market Participants in accordance with the rules set forth in the NYISO Tariffs.

Each Party shall address settlement-related corrections and disputes regarding that Party's settlement of CTS transactions in accordance with the settlement correction and dispute resolution provisions set forth in that Party's tariff(s).

Each Party agrees to provide support, including information and data that isn't otherwise available to the other Party, when the requested information is necessary to assist the requesting Party in addressing a settlement (but not price) correction or a settlement-related dispute between the requesting Party and one or more of its Market Participants regarding the settlement of CTS transactions.

If an erroneous price is determined at a CTS Enabled External Proxy Bus, independent of any price correction process ISO-NE may utilize, the NYISO shall follow the price correction process set forth in Attachment E to its Market Administration and Control Area Services Tariff.

If an erroneous price is determined at a CTS Enabled External Proxy Bus, independent of any price correction process NYISO may utilize, ISO-NE shall follow the price correction process set forth in the ISO-NE Tariff.

ARTICLE VII

7.0 NON-STANDARD CTS OPERATION

7.1 Permitted Modifications to ISO-NE Supply Price Points

In the event NYISO does not receive the ISO-NE Supply Price Points before it commences the RTC optimization, then the last set of ISO-NE Supply Price Points used to perform an RTC optimization will be used in the RTC optimization to determine the net interchange schedule until the NYISO receives and successfully validates a new set of ISO-NE Supply Price Points.

If one or more quarter-hour intervals within the ISO-NE Supply Price Points fail the NYISO's input checks, the last set of ISO-NE Supply Price Points used to perform an RTC optimization will be used in the RTC optimization.

When ISO-NE Supply Price Points do not cover the full quantity (in MWs) of bids that are evaluated by RTC, then the last pricing point on either end of the ISO-NE Supply Price Points will be extended by NYISO to cover all the bids and offers that are evaluated by RTC.

7.2 Permitted Modifications to ISO-NE Transfer Limits

In the event NYISO does not receive ISO-NE Transfer Limits or operator override values have not been entered before an RTC optimization commences, then the last set of ISO-NE Transfer Limits used to perform an RTC optimization will be used in the current RTC optimization.

If one or more quarter-hour intervals within the ISO-NE Transfer Limits fail any of the NYISO's input checks, including the input checks listed below, the last set of ISO-NE Transfer Limits used to perform an RTC optimization will be used in the RTC optimization.

- A Minimum Generation Transfer Limit and Operating Reserve Transfer Limits will not be sent at the same time.
- The Minimum Generation Transfer Limit will be less than or equal to zero.
- If an ISO-NE 10-minute Operating Reserve Transfer Limit is provided, an ISO-NE 30-minute Operating Reserve Transfer Limit will also be provided.
- The ISO-NE 30-minute Operating Reserve Transfer Limit will be less than the ISO-NE 10-minute Operating Reserve Transfer Limit.

7.3 Hourly Scheduling Under CTS

The Parties may agree to temporarily employ hourly scheduling in RTC on a CTS Enabled Interface when necessary to ensure or preserve system reliability or when not able to implement schedules as expected due to software or communication issues.

ARTICLE VIII

8.0 JOINT ENERGY SCHEDULING SYSTEM CUSTOMER SERVICE; MAINTENANCE; SUSPENSION OF CTS; COOPERATION

8.1 Joint Energy Scheduling System Customer Service

The NYISO developed and maintains the Joint Energy Scheduling System (“JESS”) platform that both New York RSPs and New England RSPs use to submit bids at CTS Enabled Interfaces.

1. Each Party is the primary customer service contact for its respective Market Participants.
2. ISO-NE will have read-only access to bids associated with New England Market Participants at CTS Enabled Interfaces on the JESS platform.

8.2 Maintenance

Subject to reasonable expectations, it is the Parties’ goal that the data links, software, and other systems necessary to implement CTS are available continuously. The Parties agree to employ regular maintenance, including scheduled maintenance outages when needed, to meet that goal.

In the event of a problem with a data link, software, computational system or data system, the responsible Party will use reasonable efforts to promptly address the problem. The Parties shall work together and shall keep each other informed regarding the problem and its resolution.

The Parties shall inform each other in advance of any scheduled testing activities or maintenance outages that will affect a CTS Enabled Interface. Notice shall be provided sufficiently in advance to allow each ISO to inform its Market Participants of any impacts on the operation of CTS.

8.3 Suspension of CTS

The Parties may suspend the scheduling of CTS transactions at CTS Enabled Interfaces due to: (1) the inability of the NYISO to receive bids for a CTS Enabled Interface; (2) a failure or outage of the data link between the Parties that prevents the timely exchange of information necessary to implement CTS transactions; (3) the actual or suspected failure of any software, computational, or data system that is necessary to implement CTS transactions; (4) the need to

verify the functionality of the tools that are necessary to implement CTS; or (5) when necessary to ensure or preserve NYISO or ISO-NE system reliability.

A Party that determines that any of the foregoing conditions have occurred shall, as soon as practicable, notify the other Party.

The Parties shall resolve issues causing the failure or outage of the data link, software, computational systems, or data systems as soon as possible, and will use reasonable efforts to promptly address the problem. The Parties shall work together and shall keep each other informed regarding the problem and its resolution. The Parties shall resume implementation of CTS following, as applicable, the successful testing of the data link or relevant system(s) after the inability to receive offers or bids, failure, or condition is resolved, or after the resolution of the system reliability issue.

When CTS is suspended the Parties shall mutually agree to interchange schedules at CTS Enabled Interfaces.

8.4 Cooperation

The Parties will cooperate to review the data and mutually identify or resolve errors and anomalies. If one Party determines that it is required to self-report a potential violation to the Commission's Office of Enforcement regarding its compliance with this Schedule D, the reporting Party shall inform, and provide a copy of the self-report to the other Party. Any such report provided by one Party to the other shall be Confidential Information.

ARTICLE IX

9.0 CTS CHANGE MANAGEMENT PROCESS

9.1 Notice

Prior to materially changing any tariff language, software or process that is directly involved in implementing this Schedule D, the Party desiring the change shall notify the other Party's data exchange contact appointed under the Coordination Agreement, in writing or via email, of the proposed change. The notice shall include a complete and detailed description of the proposed change, the reason for the proposed change, and the impacts the proposed change is expected to have on the implementation of CTS.

9.2 Opportunity to Request Additional Information

Following receipt of the Notice described in Section 9.1, the receiving Party may make reasonable requests for additional information/documentation from the other Party. This may include a request by a Party to be involved in the testing of the changes. Absent mutual agreement of the Parties, the submission of a request for additional information under this Section shall not delay the obligation to timely note any objection pursuant to Section 9.3, below.

9.3 Objection to Change

Within ten business days after receipt of the Notice described in Section 9.1 (or within such longer period of time as the Parties mutually agree), the receiving Party may notify in writing or via email the other Party of its disagreement with the proposed change. Any such notice must specifically identify and describe the concern(s) that required the receiving Party to object to the described change.

9.4 Implementation of Change

The Party proposing a change to a process that is directly involved in implementing this Schedule D shall not implement such change until (a) it receives written or email notification from the other Party that the other Party concurs with the change, or (b) the receiving Party fails to notify in writing or via email the other Party of its disagreement with the proposed change within the notice period specified in Section 9.3, or (c) completion of any dispute resolution process initiated pursuant to this Agreement.

ARTICLE X

10.0 AUDITS, CERTIFICATION AND TESTING

Each Party shall provide to the other Party the results of any certification or audit it procures regarding CTS-related software functions, subject to the following conditions: (1) the disclosure may be limited to the portions of the certification or audit that addresses the CTS-related software, and need only include the portions of the certification or audit that address the CTS-related functioning of the software; (2) if the providing Party indicates that the certification or audit is Confidential Information it shall be treated as such by the receiving party; and (3) this provision does not require a Party to disclose information that is subject to a legal privilege.

Before CTS is implemented, and upon any material changes to any components thereof, the Parties shall test the processes and component software.

Each Party shall, at its sole expense, take appropriate actions to address any actual or apparent breach of cyber security related to CTS, and shall provide prompt notification to the other Party of any such incident.

Each party will undertake an annual Service Organization Controls report that covers CTS process-related controls prepared and opined by its external auditors in accordance with Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 16 or AICPA/CICA Principles and Criterion for System Reliability (SSAE 16 engagement). The NYISO report will include controls related to the Joint Energy Scheduling System bidding platform.

Each Party shall promptly provide to the other Party the results of its annual Service Organization Controls report, subject to the following conditions: (1) the disclosure may be

limited to the portions of the report or audit that address CTS, and need only include the portions of the report or audit that address CTS; (2) if the providing Party indicates that the certification or audit is Confidential Information it shall be treated as such by the receiving party; and (3) this provision does not require a Party to disclose information that is subject to a legal privilege.